CH\(^+(1-0)\) and \(^{13}\text{CH}^+(1-0)\) absorption lines in the direction of massive star-forming regions
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ABSTRACT

We report the detection of the ground-state rotational transition of the methyldiyne cation CH\(^+\) and its isotopologue \(^{13}\text{CH}^+\) toward the remote massive star-forming regions W33A, W49N, and W51 with the HIFI instrument onboard the Herschel satellite. Both lines are seen only in absorption against the dust continuum emission of the star-forming regions. The CH\(^+\) absorption is saturated over almost the entire velocity ranges sampled by the lines-of-sight that include gas associated with the star-forming regions (SFR) and Galactic foreground material. The CH\(^+\) column densities are inferred from the optically thin components. A lower limit of the isotopic ratio \([^{13}\text{CH}^+]/[\text{CH}^+]\) > 35.5 is derived from the absorptions of foreground material toward W49N. The column density ratio, \(N(\text{CH}^+)/N(\text{HCO}^+)\), is found to vary by at least a factor 10, between 4 and \(> 40\), in the Galactic foreground material. Line-of-sight \(^{13}\text{CH}^+\) average abundances relative to total hydrogen are estimated. Their average value, \(N(\text{CH}^+)/N(\text{H}) > 2.6 \times 10^{-8}\), is higher than that observed in the solar neighborhood and confirms the high abundances of CH\(^+\) in the Galactic interstellar medium. We compare this result to the predictions of turbulent dissipation regions (TDR) models and find that these high abundances can be reproduced for the inner Galaxy conditions. It is remarkable that the range of predicted \(N(\text{CH}^+)/N(\text{HCO}^+)\) ratios, from 1 to \(> 50\), is comparable to that observed.
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1. Introduction

The methyldiyne ion CH\(^+\) was among the first molecules to be detected in the interstellar medium (ISM) Douglas & Herzberg (1941). For decades, CH\(^+\) remained accessible only in absorption at 423.2 nm, restricting its investigation to the lines-of-sight (los) toward bright nearby stars. The CH\(^+\) abundances observed in the local diffuse ISM are several orders of magnitude above the predictions of UV-driven steady-state models (see references in Godard et al. 2009), raising one of the most intractable puzzles in our understanding of the ISM. Unfortunately, the detection of the CH\(^+\) ground-state rotational transition has been prevented for a long time for two independent reasons. CH\(^+\) being a light molecule, its lowest rotational transition lies in the submillimetre range. Its high reactivity makes it difficult to isolate in laboratory experiments (Pearson & Droin 2006). Only recently did successful experiments provide accurate frequency determinations (Amano 2010). Moreover, ground-based astronomical detection of \(^{12}\text{CH}^+(1-0)\) is prevented by its proximity to a strong atmospheric line of water vapor. The first detection of the CH\(^+\) rotational lines (above \(J=2-1\)) was achieved by ISO-LWS in the planetary nebula NGC7027 (Cernicharo et al. 1997). The CH\(^+(1-0)\) line has now been detected in emission and absorption with the Herschel/HIFI instrument (Pillbratt et al. 2010; de Graauw et al. 2010) in DR21 (Falgarone et al. 2010) and, as spectrally unresolved lines with the Herschel/SPire FTS (Griffin et al. 2010), in emission in the Orion Bar and in absorption in two SFRs (Naylor et al. 2010). The ground-state transition of the isotopologue \(^{13}\text{CH}^+\), at a frequency lower by \(\sim 5\) GHz, can be observed under exceptional atmospheric conditions and was detected in absorption toward SgrB2(M) and several massive SFRs of the inner Galaxy with the Atacama Pathfinder Experiment (APEX) telescope (Menten et al. 2010) and the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory (CSO) telescope (Falgarone et al. 2005, 2010). In this Letter, we report the detection of the \(^{12}\text{CH}^+\) and \(^{13}\text{CH}^+\) transitions toward the massive SFRs W33A, W49N, and W51. The HIFI observations are described in Sect. 2. The results, given in Sect. 3, are compared to models in Sect. 4.

2. HIFI observations and data reduction

The observations presented here were carried out on 2010 April 13 with the Herschel/HIFI instrument in the framework of the key programme PRISMAS. We observed the \(J = 1 \rightarrow 0\) transi-
The average spectra displayed in Fig. 1 as functions of the local standard of rest (LSR) velocity were obtained by combining the data from three observations with different settings of the local oscillator frequency (carried out to separate the lines originating from the upper and lower sidebands) and from both polarizations. For comparison, we also display the $J = 1 - 0$ lines of HCO$^+$ observed at the IRAM-30m telescope by Godard et al. (2010).

Thanks to the saturated shape of the CH$^+$ absorption line profiles, we measured the sideband gain ratios $R$ at 835.1375 GHz, defined as the ratio of the continuum temperatures measured in the lower and upper sidebands. For all the spectra with saturated absorption lines, we found $R \sim 1$ and $R \sim 0.8$ in the horizontal and vertical polarization respectively. Since we are interested in the velocity structure and the properties of the absorbing gas, the spectra in both polarizations were normalized to their respective continuum temperature and then averaged (Fig. 2).

These spectra exhibit a few remarkable properties: (1) there is no emission line detected at the velocities of the SFRs (see Table 1), unlike what has been observed in the direction of DR21 (Falgarone et al. 2010) and the Orion Bar (Naylor et al. 2010), (2) CH$^+$ absorption covers almost all velocities sampled by the $los$, and (3) several velocity components, unseen in HCO$^+$, are detected in CH$^+$, for instance at LSR velocities 23.4 km s$^{-1}$ and 40 km s$^{-1}$ on the W51 $los$, the former being also detected in absorption in HF(1-0) (Sonnentrucker et al. 2010) and H$_2$O$^+$ (Wyrowski et al. 2010).

### 3. Line profiles analysis and results

The spectra have been decomposed into individual velocity components and the column densities of CH$^+$ and $^{13}$CH$^+$ were inferred from a multi-Gaussian fitting procedure based on the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm and developed by Godard et al. (2010). To correctly determine the opacity of weak absorption features blended with saturated lines, we applied an empirical model to account for the CH$^+$ saturated line profiles (see magenta profiles in Fig. 2). All the results are listed in Tables A.1 & A.2 of Appendix A, and shown in Fig. 2.

The column densities of optically thin lines given in the last columns of Tables A.1 & A.2 are derived assuming a low excitation temperature $T_{ex} = 3$ K (a valid assumption for the components associated with the diffuse gas along the $los$): $N(CH^+) = 3.11 \times 10^{12} \int \tau dv$ cm$^{-2}$ and $N(^{13}CH^+) = 3.05 \times 10^{12} \int \tau dv$ cm$^{-2}$.

However, these relations set a lower limit for the velocity components associated with the SFR where $T_{ex}$ is likely higher: for $T_{ex} = 40$ K, the corresponding scaling factors are about twice as large. Finally, for the saturated CH$^+$ features, lower limits on the column densities are inferred assuming a conservative lower limit on the optical depth of 2.3 (Neufeld et al. 2010). The uncertainties given in Tables A.1, A.1 & A.2 are the formal 1-$\sigma$ errors derived from the diagonal elements of the covariance matrix and do not take into account the systematic errors introduced by the uncertainty in the continuum level $T_c$ and by the dependence of the Gaussian decomposition on the input parameters. An uncertainty $\delta T_c/T_c$ of 10% induces an error on the derived column density which ranges from 3% to 62% when the central optical depth $\tau_0$ varies between 0.1 and 2.

We find that the distribution of the FWHM (full width at half maximum) of the CH$^+$ velocity components is continuous between 2.2 and 8.4 km s$^{-1}$. The signal/noise ratio of the $^{13}$CH$^+$ spectra is not sufficient to allow the identification of narrow components. As a result, the FWHMs of the $^{13}$CH$^+$ line profiles are

---

1. See http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS for more information about the GILDAS softwares.
Table 1. CH\(^+\) (0–1) and \(^{13}\)CH\(^+\) (0–1) absorption lines analysis. The column densities are derived assuming an excitation temperature \(T_{\text{ex}} = 3\ \text{K}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>source</th>
<th>velocity component</th>
<th>(v_{\text{min}}) km s(^{-1})</th>
<th>(v_{\text{max}}) km s(^{-1})</th>
<th>(N(\text{CH}^+)) (10^{12}) cm(^{-2})</th>
<th>(N(^{13}\text{CH}^+)) (10^{12}) cm(^{-2})</th>
<th>(50 \times N(^{13}\text{CH}^+)/N(\text{CH}^+))</th>
<th>(N(\text{HCO}^+)) (10^{12}) cm(^{-2})</th>
<th>(N(\text{H})/N(\text{HCO}^+))</th>
<th>(N(\text{H})) (10^{22}) cm(^{-2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W33A</td>
<td>star forming region</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>&gt; 16.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>foreground</td>
<td>–4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W49N</td>
<td>star forming region</td>
<td>–20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&gt; 16.6</td>
<td>&gt; 6.7</td>
<td>&gt; 33.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>foreground</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&gt; 27.7</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>39 ± 3</td>
<td>25 ± 1</td>
<td>&gt; 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W51</td>
<td>star forming region</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&gt; 12.8</td>
<td>&gt; 5.4</td>
<td>&gt; 27.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>foreground</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&lt; 0.25</td>
<td>&gt; 40</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>foreground</td>
<td>–2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) From Godard et al. (2010).

\(^b\) From models of the extinction at 2 \(\mu\)m by Marshall et al. (2006).

![Fig. 2. Superimposition of the CH\(^+\) (black) and \(^{13}\)CH\(^+\) (red) spectra observed toward W33A (top panel), W49N (middle panel) and W51 (bottom panel). The observational points are compared to empirical models (magenta line) of saturated line profiles, and to the results of the multi-Gaussian decomposition of the CH\(^+\) (blue line) and the \(^{13}\)CH\(^+\) (green line) absorption spectra.](image)

![Fig. 3. Dependence of CH\(^+\) column densities on the total hydrogen column density \(N(\text{H}) = N(\text{H}) + 2N(\text{H}_2)\). The values obtained for the los toward W33A, W49N, and W51 are lower limits (red rhombus). The values derived from absorption lines at 432.2 nm are from Crane et al. (1995) (magenta triangles), Gredel (1997) (blue dots) and Weselak et al. (2008) (green squares). Note that the \(N(\text{CH}^+)/N(\text{H})\) values obtained along the inner Galaxy los (submillimetre data) are larger than the mean value observed in the local ISM.](image)

interesting that in either sample, the smallest CH\(^+\) linewidths and column densities are so similar (\(~ 2\ \text{km s}^{-1}\) and \(~ 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-2}\)), while the resolving power of the submillimetre and visible observations are so different. The results for the each los are summarized in Table 1 where we have separated the absorption components in the velocity range of the star-forming regions from those originating in unrelated Galactic foreground gas. The \(^{13}\)CH\(^+\) and \(^{12}\)CH\(^+\) column densities of the foreground gas on the W49N los provide a lower limit of the isotopic ratio \([^{13}\text{CH}^+/^{12}\text{CH}^+]\) > 35.5, consistent with the results of Casassus et al. (2005); Stahl et al. (2008). Three \(N(\text{CH}^+)/N(\text{HCO}^+)\) ratios, computed using the observed \(N(\text{HCO}^+)\) values of Godard et al. (2010), are found to be scattered by more than a factor 10. Note that the 23.4 km s\(^{-1}\) CH\(^+\) component on the W51 los is barely visible in the HCO\(^+\)(1-0) profile. In that case, the abundance ratio cannot be determined properly because of the broad HCO\(^+\) linewing. The CH\(^+\) column densities integrated along the los are displayed as a function of the extinction (or total hydrogen column density, \(N(\text{H}) = N(\text{H}) + 2N(\text{H}_2)\)) along each los (Fig. 3) to allow for a com-

large (up to 18 km s\(^{-1}\)). Lastly, the CH\(^+\) column densities per velocity component are found to range between \(10^{12}\) cm\(^{-2}\) and \(1.7 \times 10^{14}\) cm\(^{-2}\), a range very similar to that obtained in the local ISM (Crane et al. 1995, Gredel 1997, Weselak et al. 2008). It is
4. Comparison with model predictions

The large observed abundances of CH$^+$ have always been a major puzzle of the diffuse interstellar chemistry, since the only reaction efficient enough to form this molecular ion, C$^+$ + H$_2$ → CH$^+$ + H, is highly endothermic ($E/k = 4640$ K). This suggests that large amounts of suprathermal energy are deposited in the cold neutral medium. In the past, several scenarios have been investigated, including C-shocks (Pineau des Forêts et al. 1986), turbulent interfaces between the warm and cold neutral medium (Lesaffre et al. 2007), and regions of intermittent turbulent dissipation (TDR models, Godard et al. 2009). While the reaction between C$^+$ and vibrationally excited H$_2$ could account for the large CH$^+$ abundances in dense and highly illuminated photodissociation regions (PDR), this mechanism is found inefficient for the physical conditions of the diffuse ISM (Sternberg & Dalgarno 1995; Agundez et al. 2010). This riddle could be related to the observed excess of HCO$^+$ in the diffuse ISM (see references in Godard et al. 2010) because CH$^+$-rich environments with H$_2$ molecular fractions as low as 25% enhance the production of HCO$^+$ through the ion-neutral reaction chain

$$\text{CH}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HCO}^+. \quad (1)$$

The TDR code is a 1-dimensional model in which the chemical and thermal evolution of a turbulent dissipative burst - namely a magnetized vortex - is computed. The lifetime of the burst is controlled by the turbulent rate-of-strain $a$ of the large scales. At any time, a large number of these tiny regions ($\sim 100$ AU), altogether filling a small fraction of the entire $l$, are developing a recurrent warm chemistry triggered by both the viscous dissipation and the ion-neutral friction, where local CH$^+$ and HCO$^+$ abundances reach $10^{-6}$ and $3 \times 10^{-7}$ respectively (Godard et al. 2009). A random $l$ therefore samples three kinds of diffuse gas: (1) mainly the ambient medium in which the chemistry is driven by the UV radiation field, (2) the active vortices with a filling factor set by the energy transfer rate in the turbulent cascade, $\epsilon = \rho \nu^3 / l$, identified with the turbulent dissipation rate (here, $\nu_l$ is the characteristic velocity at scale $l$), and (3) the long-lasting relaxation stages where the gas previously heated cools down to its original state.

The resulting average abundance is found to scale as $N(\text{CH}^+)/N(H) = 6.4 \times 10^{-8}(\epsilon/\epsilon_0) (n_H/50 \text{cm}^{-3})^{-2.6} (A_0/0.4)^{-1}$ for an ambient radiation field $\chi = 3$ in ISRF units, and $\epsilon_0 = 2 \times 10^{-24} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, two values representative of the inner Galaxy conditions. This scaling holds for gas densities $30 \text{cm}^{-3} < n_H < 500 \text{cm}^{-3}$, visual extinctions from the ISRF $0.2 < A_V < 1$ and a rate-of-strain $a = 10^{-11} \text{ s}^{-1}$ close to observed values (Falgarone et al. 2009). The predicted CH$^+$ abundances are therefore in excellent agreement with the average observed lower limits in the inner Galaxy, for $n_H \lesssim 75 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ($\epsilon/\epsilon_0)^{0.38} (A_0/0.4)^{-0.8}$.

In the TDR model, the CH$^+$ and HCO$^+$ abundances are strongly dependent on $n_H$ but, interestingly, their ratio only depends on the relaxation times set by $a$. For $10^{-12} \text{ s}^{-1} < a < 10^{-9} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $N(\text{CH}^+)/N(\text{HCO}^+)$ increases between 1 and 50, a result that compares very well with the observations.

5. Conclusion

The Herschel/HIFI CH$^+$(1-0) and $^{13}$CH$^+$(1-0) observations carried out in the framework of the PRISMAS key-programme in the direction of the remote massive star-forming regions W33A, W49N, and W51 provide several new results. Unlike in DR21, both lines are detected only in absorption. The CH$^+$ absorption is saturated over broad velocity intervals and unlike HCO$^+$, it is detected at all the velocities sampled by the $l$, including those of the star-forming regions. A lower limit of the isotopic ratio $^{13}$CH$^+$/[CH$^+$] is found to vary between 4 and 40, among the foreground velocity components. Line-of-sight CH$^+$ abundances relative to total hydrogen are estimated. Their average, $N(\text{CH}^+)/N(\text{H}) = 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$, is larger than that of the local ISM and confirms the high abundances of CH$^+$ in the Galactic interstellar medium. Both the high CH$^+$ abundances and the values of the N(CH$^+$)/N(HCO$^+$) ratios (and their large scatter) are understood in the framework of models in which chemistry includes routes opened locally by turbulent dissipation bursts (TDR models).
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Table A.1. CH\(^+\) (0 – 1) absorption line analysis results. The column densities are derived assuming an excitation temperature of 3 K, a lower limit for the absorption components detected at velocity intervals corresponding to the source itself. The first part of the table are the results of the multi-Gaussian decomposition procedure. The second part results from the analysis of the spectra over given velocity ranges: for the saturated CH\(^+\) features, lower limits on the column densities are inferred assuming a conservative lower limit on the optical depth of 2.3 (Neufeld et al. 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>source</th>
<th>remark$^a$</th>
<th>(v_0) (km s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\Delta \nu) (km s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\tau_0)</th>
<th>(N(\text{CH}^+)) (10(^{12}) cm(^{-2}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W33A</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.13</td>
<td>5.18 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.01</td>
<td>4.68 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.08 ± 1.17</td>
<td>8.38 ± 4.55</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.82 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.37 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W49N</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>-3.62 ± 0.06</td>
<td>3.63 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01</td>
<td>&gt; 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W51</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.21 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.37 ± 0.03</td>
<td>5.13 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.01</td>
<td>13.90 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.14 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.73 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.34 ± 0.16</td>
<td>3.41 ± 0.48</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.44 ± 0.06</td>
<td>3.83 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.01</td>
<td>6.56 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39.97 ± 0.11</td>
<td>3.76 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.24 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>47.16 ± 0.47</td>
<td>5.25 ± 0.55</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.09</td>
<td>&gt; 14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>49.32 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.16</td>
<td>&gt; 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>53.97 ± 0.11</td>
<td>7.26 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.03</td>
<td>&gt; 45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>71.56 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3.73 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.01</td>
<td>&gt; 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>remark$^a$</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{max}}) (km s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{max}}) (km s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>(\int \tau dv) (km s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>(N(\text{CH}^+)) (10(^{12}) cm(^{-2}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W33A</td>
<td>E.S</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>&gt; 53.6</td>
<td>&gt; 166.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W49N</td>
<td>E.S</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>&gt; 39.3</td>
<td>&gt; 122.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>&gt; 13.5</td>
<td>&gt; 41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>&gt; 41.1</td>
<td>&gt; 127.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>&gt; 48.1</td>
<td>&gt; 149.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W51</td>
<td>E.S</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>&gt; 17.7</td>
<td>&gt; 54.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ E = absorption line profile observed in the star-forming region. \(T_{\text{ex}}\) may be underestimated, hence the lower limit on \(N(\text{CH}^+)\). S = saturated line profile.

Table A.2. \(^{13}\text{CH}^+\) (0 – 1) absorption line analysis results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>source</th>
<th>remark$^a$</th>
<th>(v_0) (km s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\Delta \nu) (km s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\tau_0)</th>
<th>(N(\text{CH}^+)^{^{13}}) (10(^{12}) cm(^{-2}))</th>
<th>(N(\text{CH}^+)^{^{12}/^{13}}) (10(^{12}) cm(^{-2}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W49N</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>11.89 ± 0.05</td>
<td>7.46 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.01</td>
<td>&gt; 6.7</td>
<td>&gt; 3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38.15 ± 0.31</td>
<td>17.50 ± 0.83</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.77 ± 0.30</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61.29 ± 0.20</td>
<td>13.49 ± 0.48</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.25</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W51</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>48.65 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>&gt; 0.2</td>
<td>&gt; 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>53.84 ± 0.10</td>
<td>4.73 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>&gt; 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>64.10 ± 0.15</td>
<td>3.18 ± 0.63</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.03</td>
<td>&gt; 1.0</td>
<td>&gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>67.56 ± 0.78</td>
<td>7.09 ± 1.02</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>&gt; 2.2</td>
<td>&gt; 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ E = absorption line profile observed in the star-forming region. \(T_{\text{ex}}\) may be underestimated, hence the lower limit on \(N(\text{CH}^{13})\).

$^b$ derived from \(N(\text{CH}^{13})\) assuming a \(^{12}\text{CH}^+/^{13}\text{CH}^+\) isotopic ratio of 50.